

Fractional magnetic Sobolev inequalities with two variables

Article (Published Version)

Guo, Z and Melgaard, M (2019) Fractional magnetic Sobolev inequalities with two variables. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 22 (2). pp. 703-718. ISSN 1331-4343

This version is available from Sussex Research Online: <http://sro.sussex.ac.uk/id/eprint/84472/>

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies and may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the URL above for details on accessing the published version.

Copyright and reuse:

Sussex Research Online is a digital repository of the research output of the University.

Copyright and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable, the material made available in SRO has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full text items generally can be reproduced, displayed or performed and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Mathematical
Inequalities
& **A**pplications

*With Compliments
of the Author*

Zagreb, Croatia

Volume 22, Number 2, April 2019

Z. Guo and M. Melgaard

*Fractional magnetic Sobolev inequalities with two
variables*

MATHEMATICAL INEQUALITIES & APPLICATIONS

AIMS AND SCOPE

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications (MIA, Math. Inequal. Appl.) brings together original research papers in all areas of mathematics, provided they are concerned with inequalities or their role. From time to time MIA will publish invited survey articles. Short notes with interesting results or open problems will also be accepted.

MIA is published quarterly, in January, April, July and October.

SUBMISSION

Manuscripts should be submitted electronically in PostScript or Adobe Acrobat PDF format to the MIA Editorial Office through MIA page: www.ele-math.com or by e-mail mia@ele-math.com

The author who submitted the article for publication will be denoted as a corresponding author. He/She manages all communication and correspondence with the MIA regarding the article. Authors may indicate a member of the Editorial Board whom they consider appropriate for the article. However, assignment to that particular editor is not assured.

In order to facilitate refereeing, copies of those papers (whether by the author or someone else) which are essential and referred to in the manuscript but are not conveniently accessible, should be enclosed.

COPYRIGHT

The acceptance of the article automatically implies the copyright transfer to MIA. Manuscripts are accepted for review with the understanding that the same work has not been published (except in the form of an abstract), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that it will not be submitted to another journal, and that its submission for publication has been approved by all the authors.

PREPARATION OF MANUSCRIPT

Manuscripts should be written in English.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in TeX or one of its variants LaTeX, AMSTeX or AMSLaTeX. On acceptance of the paper, authors will be asked to send final version of file(s) to the Editorial Office.

TITLE PAGE

A title page should include the title of the article, author's name (no degrees) author's affiliation, e-mail addresses, mailing address of the corresponding author and running head less than 60 characters. Authors should be listed alphabetically.

ABSTRACT, KEY WORDS, SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

The manuscript must be accompanied by a brief abstract, no longer than 100-150 words. It should make minimal use of mathematical symbols and displayed formulas. Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) with primary (and secondary) subject classification codes and a list of 4-5 key words must be given.

FIGURES

Figures should be prepared in a digital form suitable for direct reproduction, grey scale only, preferably at resolution of 300 dpi or higher, sized to fit a journal page, and in EPS, TIFF or JPEG format. Figures should have a short descriptive title and should be numbered consecutively in order of appearance in the text. The position of each figure in the text should be indicated.

REFERENCES

Bibliographic references should be listed alphabetically at the end of the article. The author should consult Mathematical Reviews for the standard abbreviations of journal names.

PROOFS

The author will receive proofs only once. Late return of the proofs will delay the article to a later issue.

PAGE CHARGES, OFFPRINTS

There are no page charges. Authors will receive PDF file of the printed article free of charge. Additional offprints may be ordered from 'MIA' prior to publication.

FORTHCOMING PAPERS

Papers accepted and prepared for publication will appear in the forthcoming section of Journal Web page. They are identical in form as final printed papers, except volume, issue and page numbers.

MIA is published by Publishing House **ELEMENT**, Zagreb, Croatia.

The publication of this journal is supported by Ministry of science, education and sports, Croatia

All correspondence and subscription orders should be addressed to the Editorial Office:

Mathematical Inequalities & Applications
Editorial Office
Menciceva 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
www.ele-math.com
e-mail: mia@ele-math.com
Fax: +385 1 6008799

FRACTIONAL MAGNETIC SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES WITH TWO VARIABLES

Z. GUO AND M. MELGAARD

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. A fractional magnetic Sobolev inequality with two variables and critical exponents is considered in this paper, and the best constant in the inequality is determined. As an application of the inequality, we establish an existence result for the ground state solutions to a fractional magnetic critical system.

1. Introduction

Fractional magnetic problems are new. There are only a few results in the literature, such as [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9]. The so-called fractional magnetic Laplacian, which will be defined below and denoted $(-\Delta)_A^s$, can be considered as a fractional counterpart of the magnetic Laplacian $(\nabla - iA)^2$, with $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ being a vector potential. The motivations for this kind of problems rely essentially on the Lévy-Khintchine formula for the generator of a semigroup associated to a general Lévy process, which is more appropriate for some mathematical models in finance. For more details, we refer to d'Avenia and Squassina [4] and Ichinose [9].

Ambrosio and D'Avenia [2] studied a nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation with magnetic field and a subcritical nonlinearity. Using variational methods and Ljusternick-Schnirelmann category, they got existence and multiplicity of solutions when the parameter is small. Binlin, Squassina and Xia [3] considered a singularly perturbed fractional Schrödinger equations involving critical frequency and critical growth in the presence of a magnetic field. Via variational methods, they obtained the existence of mountain pass solutions u_ε which tend to the trivial solution as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Fiscella, Pina-monti and Vecchi [6] investigated the existence of multiple solutions for a boundary value problem driven by the fractional magnetic Laplacian with a subcritical nonlinear term, under two different sets of conditions on the nonlinear term which are dual in a suitable sense. In a recent paper, d'Avenia and Squassina [4] proved the existence of solutions to $(-\Delta)_A^s u + u = |u|^{p-2}u$ in \mathbb{R}^3 for the subcritical and critical cases; therein $(-\Delta)_A^s$ is defined by the mid-point prescription (see below).

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35R11, 35B25, 35B33, 35J61.

Keywords and phrases: Ground state, magnetic field, fractional Sobolev critical exponent.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11701248), the Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Liaoning Science & Technology Department (Grant No. 20180540028), and the Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Liaoning Normal University (Grant No. BS2018L004).

In the present paper, we study a fractional magnetic Sobolev inequality with two variables

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{s,A} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu_1 |u|^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{2_s^*} + \lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} v(x) - v(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < s < 1, N > 4s, 2_s^* := \frac{2N}{N-2s}$ is fractional Sobolev critical exponent, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0, A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a magnetic vector potential which is a continuous function with locally bounded gradient, $\Lambda_{s,A}$ is a constant and $u \in D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$. Here $D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the so-called magnetic Gagliardo seminorm $[\cdot]_{D_A^s}$ given by

$$[u]_{D_A^s}^2 := \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy$$

where

$$c_{N,s} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos(\zeta_1)}{|\zeta|^{N+2s}} d\zeta \right)^{-1}.$$

The scalar product in $D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_{D_A^s} & := \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left(e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \\ & \quad \cdot \overline{\left(e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} v(x) - v(y) \right)} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Although $[\cdot]_{D_A^s}$ is a seminorm, by fractional magnetic Sobolev embeddings (see Lemma 3.5 in [4]), we can view $[\cdot]_{D_A^s}$ as a norm $\|\cdot\|_{D_A^s} := [\cdot]_{D_A^s}$ in space $D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$. As Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 in [4], we can verify that $D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is a Hilbert space.

The fractional magnetic inequality is related to fractional magnetic Laplacian defined by

$$(-\Delta)_A^s u(x) = c_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\varepsilon^c(x)} \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

For $N = 3$ and with *mid-point prescription*, the fractional magnetic Laplacian was studied in [4]. More precisely, d'Avenia and Squassina [4] considered the operator

$$(-\Delta)_A^s u(x) = c_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{B_\varepsilon^c(x)} \frac{u(x) - e^{i(x-y) \cdot A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)} u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The operator $(-\Delta)_A^s$ treated in this paper can be regarded as a modification of the above-mentioned operator involving mid-point prescription.

Let $\mathcal{D}_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) := D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \times D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, endowed with norm $\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 := \|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 + \|v\|_{D_A^s}^2$. For similitude, we denote $|u|_{2_s^*} := (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2_s^*})^{1/2_s^*}$. Setting $S_A := c_{N,s} \Lambda_{s,A}/2$, then (1.1) is equivalent to the following minimization problem

$$S_A = \inf_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \\ (u,v) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mu_1 |u|^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{2_s^*} + \lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta)\right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}}, \quad (1.2)$$

which can also be characterized as:

$$S_A = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{J}} \|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2, \quad (1.3)$$

where $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a continuous magnetic vector potential with locally bounded gradient, and

$$\mathcal{J} = \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mu_1 |u|^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{2_s^*} + \lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

For the special case without magnetic fields, i.e., $A \equiv 0$, it was shown in [7] that, under the condition

$$(H) = \begin{cases} 1 < \alpha, \beta < 2, & \text{if } 4s < N < 6s, \\ \alpha, \beta > 1, & \text{if } N \geq 6s, \end{cases} \quad \text{with } \alpha + \beta = 2_s^*,$$

S_0 is attained by (U, V) , which is radially symmetric decreasing with the following decay condition

$$U(x), V(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{2s-N}. \quad (1.5)$$

Our main result reads as follows:

THEOREM 1.1. *If Condition (H) holds and $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a continuous function with locally bounded gradient, then S_A is achieved by a nontrivial element $(U_A, V_A) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$.*

As an application, we study the existence of ground state solutions to the following fractional magnetic critical system in a bounded set Ω of \mathbb{R}^N

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_A^s u - \lambda_1 u = \mu_1 |u|^{2_s^*-2} u + \frac{\alpha\gamma}{2_s^*} |u|^{\alpha-2} u |v|^\beta, \\ (-\Delta)_A^s v - \lambda_2 v = \mu_2 |v|^{2_s^*-2} v + \frac{\beta\gamma}{2_s^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2} v, \\ (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) := D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \times D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}), \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where Ω is an open bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $0 < s < 1, N > 4s$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$, $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a magnetic vector potential,

$$D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) := \{u \in D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega^c\},$$

equipped with the seminorm

$$\|u\|_{D_A^s(\Omega)} := \left(\frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c \times \Omega^c)} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and Ω^c is the complement of Ω in \mathbb{R}^N . Since $u = 0$ a.e. in Ω^c ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus (\Omega^c \times \Omega^c)} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We just denote $\|u\|_{D_A^s(\Omega)}$ by

$$\|u\|_{D_A^s} = \left(\frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

THEOREM 1.2. *If Condition (H) holds, $A : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a continuous function with locally bounded gradient and $\sigma((-\Delta)_A^s - \lambda_1), \sigma((-\Delta)_A^s - \lambda_2) \subset (0, +\infty)$, where $\sigma(\cdot)$ is the spectrum of (\cdot) in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, then the system (1.6) possesses a nontrivial ground state solution.*

2. Preliminaries

We begin with the following diamagnetic inequality.

LEMMA 2.1. (Diamagnetic inequality) *For any $u \in H_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$, we have*

$$||u(x)| - |u(y)|| \leq \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) - u(y) \right|, \quad \text{for a.e. } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$|||u|||_{D_0^s} \leq \|u\|_{D_A^s}, \quad (2.2)$$

which means $|u| \in D_0^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For a.e. $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, it holds

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u(x) \overline{u(y)} \right) \leq |u(x)| |u(y)|.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ||u(x)| - |u(y)||^2 &= |u(x)|^2 + |u(y)|^2 - 2|u(x)||u(y)| \\
 &\leq |u(x)|^2 + |u(y)|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} u(x)\overline{u(y)}\right) \\
 &= \left|e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} u(x) - u(y)\right|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.1) and (2.2). \square

The following lemma follows from Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 7.2 in [5] and Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2. (Fractional magnetic Sobolev embeddings) *The embedding*

$$D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$$

is continuous. For any bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^N , the embedding

$$D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$$

*is compact for $1 \leq p < 2^*_s$.*

The fractional magnetic Laplacian $(-\Delta)_A^s : D_A^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow D_A^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C})$ is defined by duality as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (-\Delta)_A^s u, v \rangle &:= \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left(e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} u(x) - u(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \overline{\left(e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} v(x) - v(y)\right)} dx dy \\
 &= \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left(u(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} u(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \\
 &\quad \cdot \overline{\left(v(x) - e^{i(x-y)\cdot\int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y)d\theta} v(y)\right)} dx dy.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Analogously to Lemma 4.6 in [4], we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *If Condition (H) holds, then $S_A = S_0$.*

Proof. By (1.3) and (1.4), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $u, v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_0^s}^2 \leq S_0 + \varepsilon, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\mu_1 |u|^{2^*_s} + \mu_2 |v|^{2^*_s} + \lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right) = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the scaling

$$u_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{(2s-N)/2} u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad v_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{(2s-N)/2} v\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 = \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i\varepsilon(x-y)} \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta u(x) - u(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy$$

and the following invariance of scaling holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{D}_0^s} &= \|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_0^s}, \\ |u_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*} &= |u|_{2_s^*}, \quad |v_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*} = |v|_{2_s^*}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\varepsilon|^\alpha |v_\varepsilon|^\beta &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon) \|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 - \| (u, v) \|_{\mathcal{D}_0^s}^2 \\ &= \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2\operatorname{Re} \left((1 - e^{-i\varepsilon(x-y)} \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta) u(x) u(y) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \quad + \frac{c_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2\operatorname{Re} \left((1 - e^{-i\varepsilon(x-y)} \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta) v(x) v(y) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ &= c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left(1 - \cos \left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta \right) \right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \\ & \quad \cdot (u(x)u(y) + v(x)v(y)) dx dy \\ &=: c_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy \\ &= c_{N,s} \int_{K \times K} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where K is compact support of $|u| + |v|$. Obviously, $\Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^{2N} as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Noticing that A is locally bounded, for $x, y \in K$, we get that

$$1 - \cos \left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta \right) \leq C|x-y|^2.$$

By the boundedness of u and v , for $x, y \in K$, we have

$$|\Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{|x-y|^{N-2+2s}}, & \text{if } |x-y| < 1, \\ \frac{C}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}, & \text{if } |x-y| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a suitable constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|Y_\varepsilon(x, y)| \leq C \min \left\{ \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2+2s}}, \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \right\} =: b(x, y), \quad x, y \in K.$$

The estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K \times K} b(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{(K \times K) \cap \{|x-y| < 1\}} b(x, y) dx dy + \int_{(K \times K) \cap \{|x-y| \geq 1\}} b(x, y) dx dy \\ &\leq C \int_{\{|z| < 1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^{N-2+2s}} dz + C \int_{\{|z| \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \\ &< +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

shows that $b \in L^1(K \times K)$. It follows from Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 = \|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2$. Thus, by (3.1), we derive that

$$S_A \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 = \|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 \leq S_0 + \varepsilon,$$

which implies that $S_A \leq S_0$. Lemma 2.1 guarantees the opposite inequality. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since S_0 is achieved by nontrivial element $(U, V) \in \mathcal{D}_0^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, the proof is completed by Lemma 3.1. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Define

$$S_A(\Omega) = \inf_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \\ (u,v) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{\|(u, v)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 - |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 - |v|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\left(\int_{\Omega} (\mu_1 |u|^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|^{2_s^*} + \lambda |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta) \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}}.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.4 (iv) in [8], we have:

LEMMA 4.1. $S_A(\Omega)$ is achieved by nontrivial element $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if system (1.6) possesses a nontrivial ground state solution.

Choose $\delta > 0$ satisfying $B_{4\delta} \subset \Omega$ and consider

$$u_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x) U_\varepsilon(x), \quad v_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(x) V_\varepsilon(x),$$

where η is a cut-off function such that $\eta|_{B_\delta} = 1$ and $\eta|_{B_{2\delta}^c} = 0$,

$$U_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad V_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} V\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Here, $(U, V) \in \mathcal{D}_0^s(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ attains S_0 and satisfies (1.5). Then:

LEMMA 4.2.

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 \leq \|U_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 \leq \|V_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}), \quad (4.2)$$

$$|u_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2s} \geq |U_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^N) = |U|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^N), \quad (4.3)$$

$$|v_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2s} \geq |V_\varepsilon|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^N) = |V|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^N), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^\alpha v_\varepsilon^\beta \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U_\varepsilon^\alpha V_\varepsilon^\beta + O(\varepsilon^N) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^\alpha V^\beta + O(\varepsilon^N), \quad (4.5)$$

$$|u_\varepsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2, |v_\varepsilon|_{2, \Omega}^2 \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}), & \text{if } N > 4s, \\ C\varepsilon^{2s} |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{2s}), & \text{if } N = 4s, \\ C\varepsilon^{N-2s} + O(\varepsilon^{2s}), & \text{if } N < 4s, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

where C is a positive constant relevant to s .

Proof. Noticing that $\|\cdot\|_{D_0^s}$ and $|\cdot|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}$ are invariant under the scaling, the “=” signs in (4.3)–(4.5) follows. It is easy to see that the sign “ \geq ” in (4.3)–(4.6) hold. For the sign “ \leq ” in (4.1) and (4.2), we are inspired by Proposition 21 in [10].

Claim 1. We have that

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |x - y|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in B_\delta^c \text{ with } |x - y| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (4.7)$$

In fact, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y \in B_\delta^c$ with $|x - y| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$, suppose that z is any point on the segment joining x and y , that is, $z = tx + (1 - t)y$ for some $t \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$|z| = |y + t(x - y)| \geq |y| - t|x - y| \geq \delta - t\frac{\delta}{2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

It follows from $U_\varepsilon(z) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2s-N}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(z)| &= |U_\varepsilon(z) \nabla \eta(z) + \eta(z) \nabla U_\varepsilon(z)| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-(N-2s)} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-(N-2s)-1} \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-(N-2s)} + \frac{2}{\delta} \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-(N-2s)-1} \right) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\delta}\right) \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-(N-2s)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| = |\nabla u_\varepsilon(z)| |x - y| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} |x - y|.$$

Claim 2. The following inequality holds true:

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \min\{1, |x-y|\}, \quad \forall x, y \in B_\delta^c. \quad (4.8)$$

Indeed, for $x, y \in B_\delta^c$ with $|x-y| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$, (4.8) follows directly from (4.7). For $x, y \in B_\delta^c$ with $|x-y| > \frac{\delta}{2}$, since $U_\varepsilon(x) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2s-N}$, we see that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq U_\varepsilon(x) \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}, \quad \forall x \in B_\delta^c. \quad (4.9)$$

Then,

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| \leq u_\varepsilon(x) + u_\varepsilon(y) \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}},$$

which implies that (4.8).

Claim 3. For any $x, y \in B_\delta^c$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \min\{1, |x-y|\}. \quad (4.10)$$

Since A is locally bounded, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} - 1 \right| \leq C \min\{1, |x-y|\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Then, by (4.11), (4.9) and *Claim 2*, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right| \\ & \leq \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} - 1 \right| |u_\varepsilon(x)| + |u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \min\{1, |x-y|\}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.10).

It follows from *Claim 3* and $\eta|_{B_{2\delta}^c} = 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\delta^c \times B_\delta^c} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{N-2s} \int_{B_{2\delta} \times B_{2\delta}} \frac{\min\{1, |x-y|^2\}}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\ & \leq C\varepsilon^{N-2s} \left(\int_{\substack{|x| < 2\delta \\ |x-y| < 1}} \frac{|x-y|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\substack{|x| < 2\delta \\ |x-y| > 1}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \right) \\ & = O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L} &= \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} : x \in B_\delta, y \in B_\delta^c \text{ and } |x-y| < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}, \\ \mathbb{G} &= \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} : x \in B_\delta, y \in B_\delta^c \text{ and } |x-y| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{L}$, by (4.9), (4.11) and *Claim 1*, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right| \\
 & \leq \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} \right| |u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| \\
 & \quad + \left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} - 1 \right| |u_\varepsilon(y)| \\
 & \leq |u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y)| + C|x-y|\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} \\
 & \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}}|x-y|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Then, by (4.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{L}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \leq C\varepsilon^{N-2s} \int_{\substack{|x| < \delta \\ |x-y| < \frac{\delta}{2}}} \frac{|x-y|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & = O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

By (4.9), we see that

$$U_\varepsilon(x)U_\varepsilon(y) \leq C\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2s}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2s-N} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2s}{2}} = C \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2s-N} \tag{4.15}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y \in B_\delta^c$. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{G}$, by (4.9) and (4.15), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} u_\varepsilon(x) - u_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{|U_\varepsilon(y) - u_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right| |U_\varepsilon(y) - u_\varepsilon(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
 & \quad + 4 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{U_\varepsilon^2(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + 4 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{U_\varepsilon(x)U_\varepsilon(y) + U_\varepsilon^2(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} U_{\varepsilon}(x) - U_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{N-2s} \int_{\substack{|x| < \delta \\ |x-y| > \frac{\delta}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + C \int_{\substack{|x| < \delta \\ |x-y| > \frac{\delta}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{|x|}{\varepsilon} \right)^{-N+2s} |x-y|^{-N-2s} dx dy \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} U_{\varepsilon}(x) - U_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{N-2s} \int_{|\zeta| < \delta} d\zeta \int_{|\xi| > \frac{\delta}{2}} |\xi|^{-N-2s} d\xi \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^N \int_{|\zeta| < \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-N+2s} d\zeta \int_{|\xi| > \frac{\delta}{2}} |\xi|^{-N-2s} d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} U_{\varepsilon}(x) - U_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + C\varepsilon^{N-2s} + C\varepsilon^N \int_{|\zeta| < \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}} |\zeta|^{-N+2s} d\zeta \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} U_{\varepsilon}(x) - U_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

It follows from (4.12), (4.14) and (4.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& = \int_{B_{\delta} \times B_{\delta}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} U_{\varepsilon}(x) - U_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_{B_{\delta}^c \times B_{\delta}^c} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{L}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
& \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x+\theta y) d\theta} u_{\varepsilon}(x) - u_{\varepsilon}(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{B_\delta \times B_\delta} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{G}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}) \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U_\varepsilon(x) - U_\varepsilon(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s}),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (4.1). Similarly, (4.2) holds. \square

REMARK 4.3. The result above has an analogue in Lemma 4.1 in [7].

Next we establish:

LEMMA 4.4.

$$\|U_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 \leq \|U\|_{D_0^s}^2 + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\|V_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 \leq \|V\|_{D_0^s}^2 + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (4.18)$$

Proof. We only prove (4.17). It is checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 &= \frac{\varepsilon^{-(N-2s)} C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A((1-\theta)x + \theta y) d\theta} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) - U\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{\left| e^{-i\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta} U(x) - U(y) \right|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{U^2(x) + U^2(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2U(x)U(y) \cos\left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta\right)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|U\|_{D_0^s}^2 = \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{U^2(x) + U^2(y) - 2U(x)U(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|U_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \|U\|_{D_0^s}^2 \\
&= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{2U(x)U(y) \left[1 - \cos\left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta\right) \right]}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dx dy \\
&=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy = \int_{K \times K} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where K is the compact support of U . For ε small and $x, y \in K$, it follows from the local boundedness of A that

$$1 - \cos \left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta \right) \leq C\varepsilon^2 |x-y|^2.$$

Moreover, noticing that $|x-y|$ is bounded for $x, y \in K$, we have

$$1 - \cos \left(\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \int_0^1 A[\varepsilon((1-\theta)x + \theta y)] d\theta \right) \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore, since U and V are bounded, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|\Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{|x-y|^{N-2+2s}}, & \text{if } |x-y| < 1, \\ \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}, & \text{if } |x-y| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K \times K} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{(K \times K) \cap \{|x-y| < 1\}} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy + \int_{(K \times K) \cap \{|x-y| \geq 1\}} \Upsilon_\varepsilon(x, y) dx dy \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_K d\xi \int_{\{|\zeta| < 1\}} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{N-2+2s}} d\zeta + C\varepsilon^2 \int_K d\xi \int_{\{|\zeta| \geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|\zeta|^{N+2s}} d\zeta \\ &= O(\varepsilon^2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Similar to Lemma 4.2 in [7], we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.5. *If $\sigma((-\Delta)_A^s - \lambda_1), \sigma((-\Delta)_A^s - \lambda_2) \subset (0, +\infty)$, then*

$$S_A(\Omega) < \min \left\{ \mu_1^{-2/2_s^*} S_{A, \lambda_1}(\Omega), \mu_2^{-2/2_s^*} S_{A, \lambda_2}(\Omega) \right\},$$

where

$$S_{A, \lambda}(\Omega) := \inf_{u \in D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda \|u\|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\|u\|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^2}.$$

With these preparations we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemmas 4.2, 4.4 and 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_A(\Omega) &\leq \frac{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 \|u_\varepsilon\|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_2 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\left(\mu_1 \|u_\varepsilon\|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 \|v_\varepsilon\|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_\Omega u_\varepsilon^\alpha v_\varepsilon^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|U\|_{D_0^s}^2 + \|V\|_{D_0^s}^2 - C\varepsilon^{2s} + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{N-2s})}{\left(\mu_1 \|U\|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 \|V\|_{2_s^*, \mathbb{R}^N}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^\alpha V^\beta + O(\varepsilon^N) \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}} \\ &< S_0 = S_A. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Choose a minimizing sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ for $S_A(\Omega)$ normalized by

$$\mu_1 |u_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 |u_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 - \lambda_2 |v_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 = S_A(\Omega) + o(1). \quad (4.20)$$

Noticing that $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are bounded in $D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, Lemma 2.2 ensures that there exist two subsequences—still denoted by $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ —such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u, \quad v_n \rightharpoonup v \quad \text{weakly in } D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \\ u_n &\rightarrow u, \quad v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u, \quad v_n \rightarrow v \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \leq 1.$$

Denoting $w_n := u_n - u$ and $z_n := v_n - v$, then $w_n \rightharpoonup 0$, $z_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and $w_n \rightarrow 0$, $z_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on Ω . By (4.20), we get that

$$S_A(\Omega) + \lambda_1 |u_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \lambda_2 |v_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + o(1) \geq \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 \geq S_A.$$

It follows from (4.19) that $\lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \lambda_2 |v|_{2, \Omega}^2 \geq S_A - S_A(\Omega) > 0$, and then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_n, v_n)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 &= S_A(\Omega) + \lambda_1 |u_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \lambda_2 |v_n|_{2, \Omega}^2 + o(1) \\ &\geq S_A(\Omega) + \lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \lambda_2 |v|_{2, \Omega}^2 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$. Since $w_n \rightharpoonup 0$, $z_n \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $D_A^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 &= \|w_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 + \|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 + o(1), \\ \|v_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 &= \|z_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 + \|v\|_{D_A^s}^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (4.20), we have

$$S_A(\Omega) = \|w_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 + \|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|z_n\|_{D_A^s}^2 + \|v\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_2 |v|_{2, \Omega}^2 + o(1). \quad (4.21)$$

The Brezis-Lieb Lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mu_1 |u + w_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v + z_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u + w_n|^\alpha |v + z_n|^\beta \\ &= \mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \\ &\quad + \mu_1 |w_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |z_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^\alpha |z_n|^\beta + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \leq 1$$

and

$$\mu_1 |w_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |z_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^\alpha |z_n|^\beta \leq 1,$$

we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \left(\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\quad + \left(\mu_1 |w_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |z_n|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |w_n|^\alpha |z_n|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} + o(1) \\ &\leq \left(\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{S_A} \|(w_n, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Then, (4.21), (4.22) and (4.19) guarantee that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|v\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_2 |v|_{2, \Omega}^2 \\ &\leq S_A(\Omega) \left(\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{S_A(\Omega)}{S_A} - 1 \right) \|(w_n, z_n)\|_{\mathcal{D}_A^s}^2 + o(1) \\ &\leq S_A(\Omega) \left(\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$, means that

$$\frac{\|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2 + \|v\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_2 |v|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\left(\mu_1 |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \mu_2 |v|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*} + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u|^\alpha |v|^\beta \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}}} \leq S_A(\Omega).$$

Hence, $S_A(\Omega)$ is achieved by (u, v) . We next show that (u, v) can not be the type of $(u, 0)$ or $(0, v)$. Suppose by contradiction that $S_A(\Omega)$ is achieved by $(u, 0)$. Then,

$$S_A(\Omega) = \frac{\|u\|_{D_A^s}^2 - \lambda_1 |u|_{2, \Omega}^2}{\mu_1^{2/2_s^*} |u|_{2_s^*, \Omega}^{2_s^*}} \geq \mu_1^{-2/2_s^*} S_{A, \lambda_1}(\Omega),$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.5. Therefore, (u, v) cannot be of the type $(u, 0)$. Similarly, it cannot be of the type $(0, v)$. By invoking Lemma 4.1, we prove that system (1.6) has a nontrivial ground state solution (u, v) . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. AMBROSIO, *Boundedness and decay of solutions for some fractional Magnetic Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}* , Milan J. Math., to appear, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-018-0283-3>, 2018.
- [2] V. AMBROSIO AND P. D'AVENIA, *Nonlinear fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation: Existence and multiplicity*, J. Differential Equations **264**, 5 (2018), 3336–3368.
- [3] Z. BINLIN, M. SQUASSINA, AND Z. XIA, *Fractional NLS equations with magnetic field, critical frequency and critical growth*, Manuscripta Math. **155**, 1–2 (2018), 115–140.
- [4] P. D'AVENIA AND M. SQUASSINA, *Ground states for fractional magnetic operators*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **24**, 1 (2018), 1–24.
- [5] E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI, AND E. VALDINOCI, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136**, 5 (2012), 521–573.
- [6] A. FISCELLA, A. PINAMONTI, AND E. VECCHI, *Multiplicity results for magnetic fractional problems*, J. Differential Equations **263**, 8 (2017), 4617–4633.
- [7] Z. GUO, S. LUO, AND W. ZOU, *On critical systems involving fractional Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **446**, 1 (2017), 681–706.
- [8] Z. GUO, M. MELGAARD, AND W. ZOU, *Schrödinger equations with magnetic fields and Hardy-Sobolev critical exponents*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2017), Paper No. 199, 1–18.
- [9] T. ICHINOSE, *Magnetic relativistic Schrödinger operators and imaginary-time path integrals*, In *Mathematical physics, spectral theory and stochastic analysis*, vol. 232 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., pages 247–297. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2013.
- [10] R. SERVADEI AND E. VALDINOCI, *The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367**, 1 (2015), 67–102.

(Received June 15, 2018)

Z. Guo

School of Mathematics
Liaoning Normal University
Dalian 116029, China
e-mail: guozy@163.com

M. Melgaard

Department of Mathematics
University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9QH, Great Britain
e-mail: m.melgaard@sussex.ac.uk